

**Meeting
Throop Borough Council
Tuesday, January 30th, 2018
Monthly Work Session/Meeting 6:30 p.m.**

The meeting opened with the Pledge of Allegiance.

“God Bless Our Troops and the Coalition”

ROLL CALL:

Members of Council:

Mike Chorba - P

Jim Barnick - P

Richard Kucharski - President - P

Charlene Tomasovitch - A

Vince Tanana - P

Wayne Williams - A

Bob Magliocchi - **Vice President - P**

Solicitor/Borough Manager - Louis A. Cimini - P

Mayor - Joe Tropiak - P

Assistant Treasurer - Lenore Dolan - P

Secretary - Renee O'Malley - P

Chief Clerk/Treasurer - Robin Galli - P

Announcements:

*Attorney Cimini: This afternoon I was asked to attend a meeting at Geo Sciences in Jessup by Dempsey linen. I met with Mr. Rich Scheller who is also the chairman of a board of a company called Meadow Brook Energy LLC. And actually Rich was available so we went down together. This information is only a few hours old but Meadow Brook Energy wants to invest \$100,000,000.00 for a renewable energy project on Marshwood Road that would capture methane from Keystone Landfill and it would be injected into UGI's natural gas pipeline. It will be graded as pipeline quality natural gas and ultimately used as alternative fuel for vehicles. I was told that numbers, the renewal of natural gas will be used to displace the equivalent of approximately 26 millions of gas. The property is currently in a I-1 zone. This is all brand new, in Throop. I told them the very first thing that we need is a public meeting and presentation obviously so we *inaudible* questions to propose at this point. We will get our engineer with a motion from council get the engineer, the municipal planning consultant involved and then I told them the next thing is they have to, obviously a public presentation so everyone can hear what is going on.

Magliocchi: The downside to that is we're not going to be able to make on it. Even though it sounds like, you know you've got the power plant and everything else like that going up, the towns getting a million dollars we're not *inaudible* to make money on it. The school will

because of the tax base.

Cimini: We don't know anything.

Barnick, Cimini, Kucharski & Magliocchi all talking at once.

Kucharski: The location would be on Marshwood Rd. behind Burke Stone. There's a couple hundred acres back there apparently. They would be using 23 acres. The rest of the property is owned by D&M Reality and they apparently agreed to have - it's all ground field. It's all abandoned mine reclamation. They would agree to have the rest of the property pad ready I believe upwards of almost 10 industrial lots that would be pad ready. This Meadow Brook company however would do all of the infrastructure, build roads, water lines, sewers etc.

Magliocchi: I think it is creating over 100 jobs right?

Kucharski: 100 construction jobs 25 permanent jobs. And they would all try to the extent possible have local residents on *inaudible* jobs. This is very complicated, technical presentation, obviously I think moving forward if it does move forward which apparently they are ready to roll - we would certainly have to hire some sort of consultant to advise us with respect to how we should be proceeding. And if in fact there could possibly be any host municipality fees which they aren't offering at this point. Any questions on that?

Denise Brown, Phillips St.: You said they are going to pull methane gas from the landfill?

Kucharski: Yes.

Magliocchi: They currently do that.

Cimini: Capture the gas from the landfill.

Brown: And transport it to where?

Cimini: To UGI's gas line.

Brown: *Inaudible*.

Cimini: Yes

Kucharski: It would go through a cleaning process.

Brown: I just remember back when *inaudible* proposed and that was going to happen and we were told no. At one of the meetings when they were putting in *inaudible*.

Cimini: I'm sorry I missed the first part.

Magliocchi: You're saying the power plant though?

Brown: The power plant or

Tanana: The Jessup, this is a new project. This is something from Throop. The Jessup power plant

All talking at once

Brown: Right. I remember somebody asking the question if eventually the methane was going to be pulled from the landfill.

Tanana: Well he is already pulling the methane from the landfill.

Brown: And transported

All talking at once.

Tanana: It's transported right now to the Co-Gen plant in Archbald.

Cimini: Many years.

Tanana: For a lot of years. That's why the methane levels are so low from the monitoring wells at the landfill because most of it is being captured now but it's not being scrubbed or cleaned so whatever other gases is getting pulled in from the collective system is going

Cimini: *Inaudible*.

Kucharski: Any other questions? Again there will be a public meeting hopefully scheduled

within the near future because they are to start submitting applications next week I believe to DEP and whatever other regulatory

Cimini: One issue that they did bring up today is they will reimburse the borough for any engineering expenses or other expenses *inaudible* project. They will address the public soon.

* An executive session was held at 5:45 p.m. to discuss personnel matters.

Work Session/Meetings:

* The Feb. mid month work session/meeting will be held on Mon. Feb. 12th, 2018 at 6:30p.m.

* The Feb. monthly work session/meeting will be held on Mon. Feb. 26th, 2018 at 6:30 p.m.

Audience comments on tonight's agenda:

Denise Brown, Phillips St.: Mr. Magliocchi put on an addenda item to buy 5 gaming systems for the civic center?

Magliocchi: Yes

Brown: What gaming systems? Video games?

Magliocchi: Yes. Like basically like an arcade but it's a cheaper version of an arcade. The games aren't going to be fighting and violence. It's more going to be sporting

Brown: The problem with having that is it was mentioned that the children are using the pool sticks as swords and weapons and stuff. Are they not being supervised?

Magliocchi: that I can't answer.

Brown: The one computer system there is no control over who gets to stay on the system.

Magliocchi: Well she said she is going to have somebody that has to stay in there permanently to watch the gaming systems and everything else like that where they have to be supervised. I mean it's

Magliocchi & Kucharski talking at once.

Kucharski: There should probably be some rules that somebody can't sit there for 2 hours.

All talking at once.

Brown: What I would rather see as a grandparent - games. Maybe tables where children can go over and do arts and crafts or have them *inaudible* or

Magliocchi: That's the day care

Brown: Yea the kids don't go downstairs.

Magliocchi: To do tables and stuff like that there is not really room in there. The issue is

Brown: Where are they going to sit to play the games?

Magliocchi: Well I mean the TV goes on the wall and there is gaming chairs, small gaming chairs that they are buying. I mean really when you have them going in there now you know what they are doing in there now - they are all fighting over 2 computers. And playing games on them. You know what I mean. But what they were doing is they are doing a time limit on them and there's disciplinary actions if they are not listening to the civic center whether it is in the gym or the activity room you can lose gaming for 4 or 5 days where it is going to affect the kids because there is punishment. Right now, I always say like, your kids go to the civic center and

you know we spent a million dollars who plays baseball all the time? We spend money all over but there's kids that do different things. The civic center - yea you have basketball but if you don't play basketball what is there for you to do. Nothing.

Brown: Right but I'd rather the children not play video games when they are there. As opposed to interaction and playing games *inaudible*, monopoly or life or whatever

Magliocchi and Brown talking at once.

Brown: Some chairs for the kids to sit at to do those things.

Magliocchi: There's not a lot of room. I mean that is something that she could always look into but I look at it this way there are some kids that have no gaming systems at home or something. I look at it like if I don't want my kid playing gaming - you're not allowed in the activity room.

Kucharski: By moving that pool table out of there it may free up some space where perhaps we could get some board games and set up some tables in the middle there. That is something that we could look into.

Brown: Whenever I've been there I have seen children playing pool. It seems to be something they enjoy doing. And I think it's actually

Magliocchi: Well see the problem is at that age they don't know how to play.

Brown: They don't but they are learning.

Magliocchi: I understand they are learning but when you don't actually have like - at my house I have a pool table - I don't allow my kids to play it unless I'm there because they don't know how to play.

Brown: *Inaudible*

Magliocchi: Right they are going to tear the table they poke the ceiling. They do everything just because they are young. They don't

Both talking.

Magliocchi: But even supervising it's a game that has to be taught. I don't think a pool table, in my personal opinion, a game for younger kids.

All talking at once.

Kucharski: Mary Ruth seems to indicate that it is not getting used. I mean they were her words.

Magliocchi: It isn't. I've seen people on it once or twice, I mean that's a lot. I've been over there almost everyday since that project started and I can honestly say that I have never saw one person playing pool. Not one.

Brown: And every time I've been in there I have.

Magliocchi: Well then you come at odd times than I do because I am pretty much always over there. But I am not going to argue and say you didn't but I'm just telling you that I didn't.

Kucharski: Alright well thank you for your comments. Anything else? Anyone else on the agenda?

4. **Motion by Chorba** **Seconded by Tanana**

To accept the correspondence as read or posted.

All in favor **Motion carried**

5. **Motion by Tanana** **Seconded by Barnick**

To accept the Fund Statement, Budgeted Revenue & Expense Statement as of **Dec. 31st, 2017** as posted and/or printed on the agenda.

Fund Balances:	
General Fund Checking Account - FNCB	\$59,075.17
General Fund Performance Money Market - FNCB	\$569,302.48
Civic Center Account-FNC	\$17,351.62
General Fund Petty Cash	\$300.00
Payroll Checking Account-FNCB	\$15,472.42
Sunny Day Fund MMKT CK Acct-FNCB	\$3,561,771.39
Sunny Day Fund CD's	\$4,233,636.42
Liquid Fuels Account - FNCB	\$159,691.76
Fund Statement Grand Total:	\$8,616,601.26

All in favor **Motion carried**

6. **Motion by Chorba** **Seconded by Tanana**

To adopt Ordinance #1 of 2018, an ordinance re-enacting a tax on assessed valuation.

All in favor **Motion carried**

7. Motion by Magliocchi Seconded by Tanana

To adopt Ordinance #2 of 2018, an ordinance establishing the Office of Borough Manager and establishing the powers and duties thereof.

4 in favor, Barnick against Motion carried

8. Motion by Magliocchi Seconded by Chorba

To appoint Louis Cimini as Borough Manager as per responsibilities and job duties of Ordinance #2 of 2018.

4 in favor, Barnick against Motion carried

9. Motion by Tanana Seconded by Magliocchi

To appoint James Kuzmak as the Emergency Management Coordinator for the Borough of Throop.

On the question:

Barnick: There was more than one person that put a letter of interest in. Did anybody interview them.

Kucharski: There were 2 people apparently.

Barnick: That's what I'm saying. There was another one besides this person. I'm not familiar with either I'm just wondering if there was an interview process or if somebody was just overlooked.

Tanana: I am very familiar with Jimmy Kuzmak and his qualifications. He is a state certified instructor, he works currently at Sanofi Pasteur. He's been in the fire service for a long time and actually carries a higher recommendation from our Borough Fire Chief who also knows him and he will have to work closely with to do proper emergency management. And he is a resident of the borough.

Barnick: So is the other one weren't they?

Kucharski: Yes. Just looking at the resumes the other person didn't seem like they had any experience what so ever in the fire service.

Barnick: I agree.

Kucharski: It appeared that they just graduated from high school just by looking at the resume. But whatever. I don't either of the individuals either so I would, I am interested in hearing the opinion of the individuals that in fact do volunteer in the fire service.

Tanana: Jimmy has been in the fire service a long time. He is more than qualified.

Magliocchi: You technically work, working relationship.

Hegedus: I have a good relationship with him, yes.
Magliocchi: That' was enough for me.

All in favor

Motion carried

10. Motion by Tanana

Seconded by Magliocchi

To donate \$100.00 to Mid Valley Elementary PTA Purse Bingo.

All in favor

Motion carried

11. Motion by Tanana

Seconded by Chorba

To deposit \$90,995.66 in the Sunny Day Fund MMKT checking account at FNCB. This represents 10% of the Host Municipal Landfill Fee check received Jan. 2018.

All in favor

Motion carried

12. Motion by Magliocchi

Seconded by Tanana

To repeal Ordinance # 3 of 2008, an ordinance requiring a \$1,000 per lot fee to be used for Throop Borough Recreation/Parks for any subdivision with ten lots or greater.

On the question:

Chorba questioned who pays the \$1000.00?

Magliocchi: The home owners.

Barnick: At the close of purchase. This was put in because of the developer sticking it to us and not paying us what he was originally supposed to. This was to protect us.

Magliocchi: Technically the way the ordinance was written they did it wrong because they are not going after the developer they are going after the homeowners. Because if you read the ordinance it on the buyers cost not the developers.

Chorba: So if the lot was \$40,000.00 it would be \$41,000.00.

Magliocchi: Yes. Correct.

**3 in favor, Barnick &
Kucharski against**

Motion carried

21. Motion by Magliocchi **Seconded by Tanana**

To prepare and advertise an Ordinance accepting the recommendations of the Line Street Traffic and Engineering Study and post accordingly.

All in favor **Motion carried**

22. Motion by Chorba **Seconded by Tanana**

To utilize the LSA grant allotment for the Borough's 15% match for the Pennsylvania American Water and Sewer grant for the sanitary sewer project on Pearl Street.

All in favor **Motion carried**

23. Motion by Magliocchi **Seconded by Tanana**

To authorize the Borough Engineer to send an Inspector out to inspect Schoolside Estates Phase II.

All in favor **Motion carried**

24. Motion by Magliocchi **Seconded by Chorba**

To accept the proposal received from Yeselavage Rug for the Civic Center basement bathroom flooring at a cost of \$1389.00.

All in favor **Motion carried**

25. Motion by Magliocchi

Seconded by Tanana

To allow Mary Ruth Tanner to purchase 5 gaming systems for the Civic Center.

On the question:

Barnick: I think it is a waste of tax payer money. I agree with Denise. I think it should be something more interactive.

Kucharski: Do you know the cost of those.

Magliocchi: I don't know they are on that sheet. The civic center is for stuff for kids to do. Unless you can have a bunch of kids playing pool, throwing balls around and sticks then so be it but it's numerous and it's been - the director even stated that there is nothing for them to do. Everybody is ok putting a million dollars into the baseball field but not ok buying a couple game systems for the kids.

Barnick: Well you just repealed the motion that was for recreation *inaudible* took parks away from a kid *inaudible* schoolside - so what's the difference?

Magliocchi: You stated that you are getting back at the developer - you are getting back at the tax payers that are, the buyer not the developer. The ordinance is written wrong so if they're going after the developer they should've wrote it the right way.

Barnick: It wasn't going after the developer it was making sure they got what they what they were supposed to be getting.

Magliocchi: So you are telling me that you are charging that \$1000.00 in that new development All talking at once.

Kucharski: It is not related to this motion. Any other questions?

4 in favor, Barnick against

Motion carried

26. Motion by Tanana

Seconded by Chorba

To allow Mary Ruth Tanner to attend a food safety certification course at a cost of \$185.00.

On the question:

Magliocchi: It's for the seniors program?

Tanana: Yes the seniors meal program.

Kucharski: And that enables us to then access - I mean we were awarded a state grant to operate it however now new requirements apparently say that in order to operate a senior center and offer other activities we have to serve at least one meal per week and in order to be able to do that someone has to be certified in food safety. Actually Lackawanna County through Penn State Extension I believe is offering the course.

----- questioned if they cook in house or

Kucharski: Most senior centers use Metz catering out of the Wilkes Barre area so actually in the packet Mary Ruth does provide some information about Metz. She has had contact with them.

All in favor

Motion carried

27. Motion by Tanana

Seconded by Chorba

To accept the proposal from D&M Fireworks at a cost of \$10,000.00 for the Night Out and Booster Blow Out and to authorize a payment of \$5,000.00 which is half of the fee (\$2,500.00 for each).

All in favor

Motion carried

28. Motion by Tanana

Seconded by Magliocchi

To authorize the Borough Engineer and the Municipal Planning Consultant, Jack Varalay to meet with Richard Scheller regarding the Meadow Brook Energy Project.

All in favor

Motion carried

29. Motion by

Seconded by

To hold the first quarter allotment from Throop Hose Co #2 for the failure to attend the mandatory January 15th meeting.

Barnick: Say that again.

Magliocchi: So do you want to put it in an Escrow until they start cooperating or

Tanana: We said there is going to be consequences.

Magliocchi: I know that but I'm just trying to

Tanana: We specifically stated in the letter to all 3 of them that if there was not representatives here that there would be financial consequences to their allotment. We all agreed that it wasn't going to be a dog and pony show. 2 of the 3 companies had their officers here. 1 company had not 1 officer here. Not one. I understand their chief had his son's basketball game, the assistant chief was working what about the president, vice president, the treasurer, secretary and trustees? You've got 8 other people as administrative positions. None of them came.

Kucharski: Do they have any of those - I know I understand - I am just saying.

Tanana: Rich we can't - that's a separate - that's their organization. If they're in violation of laws with a corporation than that's something that we would have to have our solicitor investigate and do whatever has to be done that way. Let them dissolve their incorporation.

Magliocchi: Did they received their first quarter allotment yet.

Tanana: No that's at the end of March.

Kucharski: So what do they have to do to recoup that? Or is it gone?

Magliocchi: Well how about if we put it in an escrow until they start

Tanana: I would say that if you don't want to eliminate it totally I would say reduce it by half.

Kucharski: Ok. So what is your motion going to be then?

Tanana: I would make a motion to reduce their first quarter allotment by 50% for not attending

the mandatory January 15th meeting.

Kucharski: Ok. Is there a second?

Magliocchi: See I don't really have the reasons why nobody was here, I mean that's

Tanana: Everybody was given well in advance notice. There were tons of officers here from the other 2 companies. You can't tell me that everybody had something to do particularly on the 15th.

Magliocchi: Well why don't we put it in escrow right now until we get answers.

Tanana: Because there were hard questions that I wanted to ask them. They weren't here to answer them. If you remember a year and a half ago they were in the process of consolidating with Volunteer Hose Co and that ended. Every 6 month cycle - somebody falls in and out of love it either they are going to have members and then when they fall in love they don't have members. I'm sorry I don't want to waste - take that chance that it's going to end up like the ambulance association. We're going to end up paying top dollar to get assets back.

Kucharski: Ok again we have a motion, is there a second?

Tanana: Fine I'll amend it to put it in escrow.

Magliocchi: When we get an answer depending on why they weren't here then we can base it on a percentage of allotment *inaudible* first quarter. That seem fair?

Kucharski: Can't just put it in escrow, Lou I mean, you put it in escrow then how do you get it out of there?

All talking at once.

Magliocchi: So then technically then we can see what their answers are - why they didn't show up and if their *inaudible* than ok and if they're not then we can decide on the amount of the allotment that we want to give.

Tanana: The tail is wagging the dog.

Kucharski: Well just a suggestion so we say that

Tanana: Then don't send letters out then that's stating something that is not going to be factual. Is my point. Say if you don't show up oh well nothing happens to you because don't say you are going to put a penalty and not put a penalty in there.

Magliocchi: I'm not saying I'm against a penalty - I'm saying the full amount.

Tanana: You did.

Chorba: You either take it or you don't.

Tanana: Right.

Kucharski: I was going to say that Lou send them a letter saying that you did not meet, you did not come to the meeting, you didn't show up and as a result you're allotment is being placed in escrow. Please advise why - provide valid reasons why you were not at the meeting and

Magliocchi: And we'll base that a % of the allotment we want to give them? It could be basically nothing.

Chorba: We already got 1 fastball down the plate with a company #1 lawyering up. I think we've got to throw one back.

Tanana: Yep. You know if it's up to me I say we don't even give them the first quarter allotment.

Kucharski: Ok we have a motion is there a second?

Chorba: I'll second it.

No audience comments.

Magliocchi asked Hegedus his opinion.
Hegedus: Oh no.

Motion by Tanana

Seconded by Chorba

To not give Throop Hose Co #2 their total first quarter allotment.

**3 in favor, Barnick &
Magliocchi against**

Motion carried

**Magliocchi against due to feels should be penalized but not the whole allotment -
definitely ok penalizing but not the full**

30. Motion by Tanana

Seconded by Magliocchi

To fund the 3 fire service providers based on percentage of calls actually responded to. With the break down; to receive 100% of the quarterly allotment you would need to attain a 75% - 100% on scene call ratio; to receive 75% would be 50% - 74% on scene ratio; 50% of your allotment would be 25% - 49% on scene ration; 1% - 24% of on scene you would get 25% of your allotment. The reporting structure be each company do their reporting and our Borough Chief certify that report for debility between the discrepancies of county CAD their own in house system etc. That report to be presented to us before we do the allotments by our Borough Fire Chief.

Barnick questioned if we could say the wording for this motion again.

Tanana: It is to fund the 3 fire service providers, namely Throop Hose Co. #1, Throop Hose Co #2 and Volunteer Hose Co of Throop, their quarterly annual allotments on the percentage of actual responded on scene calls. Meaning cancellations don't count toward the numbers, just you responded, arrived on scene that's it. I don't care what the numbers you have on the vehicle it's just that you got the needed equipment out the door to the scene of the emergency - period.

Barnick: And what do you mean cancellations don't count?

Tanana: Cancellations don't count. If you are cancelled for any reason it doesn't go against you and doesn't go for you. That's what I was saying. It's not counted in the percentages Jim is what I'm saying. Say you get 100 calls and were cancelled 25 times, those 25 calls that you were cancelled don't go towards the 75-100% of arrival on scenes. So if you arrived on scene you were cancelled 25 times you arrived on scene 60 of the 75 calls ok that is what your percentage is based on. That means you had 20 calls or 25 calls that you did not arrive on scene too or you weren't cancelled for.

Magliocchi: Cancellations just doesn't add into the percentage.

Tanana: Right. It just - it's basically saying whatever the investigation of the Borough Fire Chief on scene cancelled all companies. I mean everybody gets a cancellation for that. It doesn't even count because nobody left their building.

Magliocchi: I'll second it.

Chorba: Vince I think you need to add wording that it be adequately staffed. We don't need a one man fire truck showing up to a scene blocking a fire truck.

Barnick: That's what I was going to bring up next because you are going to have people just go running for the hills every time there is a call just so they can get *inaudible* from the Borough. I mean you are going to cause confusion.

Kucharski: So we have a motion and a second.

On the question:

Kucharski: I guess my

Magliocchi: What do you want to modify it to a staffed piece of equipment?

Chorba: Adequately staffed.

Tanana: PA has no standards to tell you what's an adequately or non adequately staffed piece of equipment because we are 15 years behind the times of

Kucharski: Well Julie Barone said 2 is adequate to *inaudible* the truck.

Chorba: You need a driver,

Tanana: No. An operator

Chorba: An operator, you need somebody to and I think you need a 2 man crew to enter a fire. Correct?

Tanana: Mike, listen I know but what I am saying is

Chorba: You need at least 3. At least 3.

Tanana: We have nothing to fall back on to say where are you getting these numbers from.

Chorba: Is that correct Andy?

Tanana: There is no state statute that says

Hegedus: It depends on what piece of equipment it is.

Kucharski: We have a motion and a second. I still have an on the question. Look we got together with everyone on January 15th. We tried to open the dialog we said that we are concerned about the future of the Throop Fire Department everybody needs to talk everybody needs to try to come up with some things to do to make it work and we also mentioned some of the potential consequences that were talked about over the course of the year for failure to talk or failure to get the equipment out there so we talked about having this meeting or meetings or whatever and coming back on March 19th to discuss certain potential solutions and now we are telling them well the hell with that, that was all bullshit, excuse the expressions, and we're just going to pass this motion and only give you money based on your turnout. I think once we've allowed the process to take place and there has been discussion and if we can't come to a solution I mean I think that would be the time to entertain something like this. That's just my opinion.

Tanana: So what you're saying on March 19th that night if their solution doesn't satisfy us that we do this motion and pass it right there that night.

Kucharski: All I'm saying, well

Tanana: I mean because that's - basically that's what you are going to have to do I mean this is

Magliocchi: That's fair to wait until the 19th.

Tanana: I mean because on the 19th it is going to be advertised as that meeting plus general purposes but I mean these numbers here are I believe adequate for pretty much active fire departments to get. I mean we're not telling you - you have to do 100% of your calls, we understand you're volunteers but if you have an adequate active membership you should be able to get yourself out the door at least 75% of the times.

Magliocchi: Actually, that's not a bad idea to wait until the 19th to see if they are on the same page

Kucharski: Or if they are making some progress.

Tanana: Listen, that would be great but you know again look at what happened when we went in weak. We got thrown in our face talk to this attorney.

Kucharski: I agree.

Tanana: I mean this is what I'm saying like so if the 19th what happens if whatever day Andy will be set to say ok this is when they want to have to sit down to discuss what they are going to present for the 19th and none of them show up. Then on the 19th we are going to be here looking like fools.

Kucharski: No we're not. Then we do what we have to do.

Tanana: Well if that happens I have a different idea for the 19th.

Kucharski: I'm sorry I didn't ask the audience if they had any comments.

Tanana: I mean the time has come. We can no longer accept the fact that, listen like I stated \$840,000.00 just in money over the past 11 years went to them. We're no further ahead than we were 11 years ago. That's a lot of money.

Kucharski: Well we try to process. We've got to give the process a chance at least a chance to see what happens, to see if it works.

Tanana: We were having the same issues 11 years ago as we are having today. It's just everybody that sat up here was afraid, can't touch the fire departments, Why? You're basically saying that we don't want to properly protect our residents. We're just going to let them do what they want. Back in 1992 they handed them each \$333,000.00 to go do what they wanted with no accountability for the spending. None. Here's a check for you. Next year here's a check for you - you can go do what you want. Third year here's a check for you. A million dollars. Tax payer money - gone. Before that the borough actually did a consolidation study that you guys are talking about and the study proved -said yes this borough should be consolidated into one. And that was back in 1991.

Magliocchi: I think it is common sense *inaudible*

Hegedus: I have the study.

Tanana: It was conducted already.

Magliocchi: We are just repeating what was *inaudible*

Donna Barnick: I have a question who pays their insurance?

Tanana: We do technically.

D. Barnick: Why? Why?

Tanana: Well we don't pay their insurance we give them \$23,625.00 basically to operate so out of that they can pay their

D. Barnick: And they are doing it aren't they?

Tanana: Yes but they're not - the response rates are low. We give them that money so that when you're house is on fire we get the adequate equipment there.

Magliocchi: There's technically only one truck

D. Barnick: Oh believe me if my house was on fire Dunmore or somebody else would come before Throop.

Tanana: no.

D. Barnick: Yea.

Tanana: no.

D. Barnick: Yea.

Tanana: Ok.

Kucharski: Alright let's take a vote.

Tanana: I would be there Donna.

D. Barnick: Thank you.
Hegedus: I would be the first one.
D. Barnick: Thank you.
Inaudible
D. Barnick: When the chimney blew Dickson was.

Chorba is against thinks it needs a little fine tuning, Barnick against, Magliocchi For, I mean if you are saying that Dickson City is here before Throop, D. Barnick-they were, yes they were.
Magliocchi: I can't answer the time of that call but maybe there wasn't people available where I always look at if you start *inaudible* listen I said it before I said it in the meeting I said I am for consolidation. If we made a motion right now to consolidate I would be for it. That's just my personal opinion.
Kucharski against.

2 in favor, 3 against (all as noted above) Motion failed

31. Motion by Barnick Seconded by Tanana

To prepare and advertise an Ordinance to pretty much say the same thing about Ordinance #3 that we just repealed but to make it at the developers expense.

Kucharski: So the developer would put in the \$1000.00?
Barnick: Yes. Got to make up for it somehow.
Tanana: I'll second that.

On the question:

Chorba: He's technically into - he's not going to be able to do it on this one.

All talking at once.

Magliocchi: No.

Dolan questioned what the motion was.

Tanana: His motion was to

Chorba: to put the \$1,000.00 on the developer

Kucharski: for each lot sold.

Galli: to prepare and advertise

Attorney Cimini: To prepare and advertise an ordinance

Barnick: And this isn't going to help out with schoolside phase II?

Chorba: No he was approved already and is under construction.

Magliocchi: *Inaudible*.

Barnick: You just took a park away from the town. Don't worry about it.

Magliocchi: If you had a little common sense, you're going after the tax payers where you are trying *inaudible* and you're wrong. You're not even accurate. You're trying to fix what your father messed up. That's the bottom line.

All talking at once.

D. Barnick: You're spending too much money.

Magliocchi: The ordinance was wrong. Look at the money he pissed away. A million dollars for the fire houses and what's there to show.

Kucharski: First time - Hit the gavel. Enough.

D. Barnick and Magliocchi speaking at once.

D. Barnick: 92 with the fire hose company. Am I correct? He wasn't on then.

Tanana: That was before

Magliocchi: What about the ordinance that they passed for the sewer

D. Barnick: Oh grow up

Magliocchi: lines that you have to pay \$2,500.00. When you built a new house did you have to pay \$2,500.00? For a sewer line? No you didn't but now they're making the new developments
Both talking at once.

D. Barnick: The sewer line was there.

Kucharski: If this doesn't stop I am just going to adjourn the meeting. Now come on. Enough.

Magliocchi: It's not right.

Kucharski: Enough.

Magliocchi: Their going to say it, I'm going to say it.

Kucharski: It's enough.

Magliocchi: I'm going to answer the questions too.

Kucharski: Now we are really getting the way the hell off of track here. This is enough. We had a motion was there a second?

Barnick: Vince seconded it.

Kucharski: Any additional questions? Audience any comments? Roll Call

All in favor

Motion carried

Chorba will go for it for future developments & Magliocchi added that now it's done right.

The meeting was adjourned.

Meeting Minutes by Renee O'Malley
Borough Secretary